

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/042,605	NICPONSKI, HENRY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) _____.

(2) ROBERT LUKE WALKER.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2005

Time: 09:30 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

26 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed issues pertinent to independent claims 26 and 32. Applicant's Attorney (Robert Walker) agreed to amend the claims so as to more narrowly define the claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)